

About the Report Card

HALT's Lawyer Discipline Report Card compiled between May and September 2002, evaluates the state of lawyer discipline systems across the country. HALT called each state's disciplinary agency, carefully reviewed the website, brochure and annual report of each agency, analyzed data compiled by the American Bar Association Survey on Lawyer Discipline, and assessed the rules and regulations that govern each state disciplinary agency.

GRADING SCALE

The following are the grading criteria for each category. The number in brackets after each category is the percent of the overall grade comprised of that category.

Adequacy of Discipline Imposed [35%]*

What percentage of grievances does the agency investigate?

A = 90% or more B = 75-89% C = 50-74%
D = 20-49% F = less than 20%

What percentage of investigations result in public sanctions and what percentage lead to private sanctions?

A = 33% or more B = 25-32% C = 15-24%
D = 5-14% F = less than 5%

Publicity and Responsiveness [15%]

Does the disciplinary agency publicize itself sufficiently?

A = advertises in yellow pages, in at least one local newspaper, through flyers in courthouses, and on the internet

B = 3 of the above C = 2 of the above

D = 1 of the above

F = does not advertise its services to the public

*Does the agency meet HALT's criteria for a comprehensive, clear, and consumer-friendly website, telephone system and brochure?****

A = at least 95% of criteria B = 85% C = 75%

D = 65% or less

F = no website, no telephone system or no brochure

Openness of the Process [15%]

Can a grievant attend hearings?

A = yes C = not unless a witness F = never

Can the general public attend hearings?

A = yes C = only if case reaches public sanctions stage

F = never

Where does the agency publish names of publicly sanctioned lawyers?

A = agency's website **and** at least one local newspaper

B = agency's website **or** at least one local newspaper

C = only in publications distributed to lawyers

D = only in the agency's annual report

F = nowhere

Can a consumer find out whether a grievance has ever been filed against her attorney?

A = yes – call the agency or search the agency's website for attorney's name

B = no – agency only reports whether charges were ever brought against attorney

C = no – agency only reports whether attorney has ever been privately or publicly disciplined

D = no – agency only reports whether attorney has ever been publicly disciplined

F = no – agency will not release information about discipline history of attorney

Fairness of Disciplinary Procedures [15%]

Does the state have a "gag rule"?

A = no

C = yes, the agency requests that individuals keep their grievances confidential

F = yes, grievants will be held in contempt of court if they speak about grievance

Are grievants granted civil immunity?

A = yes C = qualified immunity F = no

What is the standard of proof in discipline hearings?

A = "preponderance of the evidence" or its equivalent

C = "clear and convincing evidence" or its equivalent

F = "beyond a reasonable doubt"

Public Participation [15%]

What percentage of nonlawyers serve on hearing panels?

A = majority nonlawyers B = 50% nonlawyers

C = 33-49% nonlawyers D = less than 33% nonlawyers

F = no nonlawyers

Promptness [5%]*

On average, how long does it take before the agency brings formal charges against an attorney?

A = less than 3 months B = 3-5 months

C = 6-8 months D = 9 months – 1 year

F = more than 1 year

On average, how long does it take before the agency imposes sanctions on an attorney?

A = less than 6 months B = 6 months – 1 year

C = 1 year – 1-1/2 years D = 1-1/2 years – 2 years

F = more than 2 years

* Data based on most recent statistics from the American Bar Association, which derive from the 2000 ABA Survey on Lawyer Discipline Systems.

** HALT's detailed list of criteria is available upon request.



An Organization of Americans for Legal Reform

1612 K Street, NW, Suite 510, Washington, DC 20006 • (202) 887-8255 • (202) 887-9699 FAX • www.halt.org